

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE			•
		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/030,751	11/09/2001	Johannes Van Sinderen	СОН-12726	4155
,	590 05/20/2004 [] PODTED & CLA	DULLD	EXAMINER	
RANKIN, HILL, PORTER & CLA 4080 ERIE STREET		KK LLP	CAPUTO, LISA M	
WILLOUGHB	Y, OH 44094-7836		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2876	. 1
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Appli ant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/030,751	VAN SINDEREN, JOH	HANNES			
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lisa M Caputo	2876				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ss			
THE REPLY FILED 26 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	dis APPLICATION IN CONDITIC avoid abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment which all (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	ON FOR ALLOWANC	CE. / to a			
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	*				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of b). The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv	the final rejection.	* .	· ·			
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	fthe final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See	MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statutory period for reply originally eat in t	fee. The appropriate extens	sion fee under			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's	S Brief must be filed within the p	oriod set forth in				
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.	••			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	÷ .				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b		* * *				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	*					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject		* *	. *			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			1 4 4 4 4			
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	Continuation Sheet.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were r	newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment (explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b)[uld be rejected is provided belov	will be entered and wor appended.	an .			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:	*					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-8 and 10-18.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	•			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:		 '				
	en de la composition de la composition La composition de la	Dianich	Ra			
		DIANE I. LEE PRIMARY EXAMIN	JED .			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation Sh t (PTOL-303) 110/030,751

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Even though the proposed amendments do not raise n w issues that would require a further search, the propos d amendments do indeed require further consideration beyond a cursory review by the examiner.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are not persuasive. For example, regarding claim 1, Kadaba does indeed teach a receipt identification reader that is adapted to read machine-readable recipient identifications when it is disclosed that the digital data signature is entered (i.e. signature information of the recipient is input into the divice and subsequently converted into machine-readable data and is therefore read). Further, it is repectfully submitted that Kadaba does also teach that the actual recipient is receiving the package via utilization of the internal query system and digital signature entry. In response to the argument that there is no reason to combine Kumar with Kadaba, examiner respectfully disagrees. Both Kadaba and Kumar teach mobile transaction systems and it is obvious to improve the Kadaba system by adding more features in order to have a more comprehensive and efficient system. With regards to the Scott reference, the reference was used in order to overcome the deficiency of not having a biometric reader in Kadaba. Since Kadaba does indeed teach the other limitations, it is proper to combine Kadaba with Scott in order to have the limitation of using a biometric reader. Hence, rejections regarding claims 1-8 and 10-18 stand